arXiv:hep-th/9210081v2 19 Oct 1992

USITP-92-09
hep-th/9210081

A note on 2D chiral gravity and chiral bosons

Fiorenzo Bastianelli[†]

Institute for Theoretical Physics
University of Stockholm
Vanadisvägen 9
S-113 46 Stockholm, Sweden

Quantization of two dimensional chiral matter coupled to gravity induces an effective action for the zweibein field which is both Weyl and Lorentz anomalous. Recently, the quantization of this induced action has been analyzed in the light-cone gauge as well as in the conformal gauge. An apparent mismatch between the results obtained in the two gauges is analyzed and resolved by properly treating the Lorentz field as a chiral boson.

09/92

[†] e-mail: fiorenzo@vana.physto.se

As is well-known, non-chiral conformal matter in 2d is characterized by the central charge c. It couples to gravity by inducing an effective action of the form $cR\frac{1}{\nabla^2}R$, which gives a non-trivial dynamics to the conformal mode of the metric. Quantization of this induced action have been performed by fixing the diffeomorphism invariance either choosing the light-cone gauge, as done by Polyakov [1] and Knizhnik-Polyakov-Zamolodchikov [2], or choosing the conformal gauge, as shown by David [3] and Distler-Kawai [4]. In both cases exact results for critical exponents have been obtained, which agree with each other (when they can be compared, i.e. at genus zero). Recently, similar analysis have been attempted for the case of gravity induced by chiral matter [5] [6], the latter being now characterized by the two central charges, c_l and c_r, appearing in the corresponding left and right Virasoro algebras. It is well-known that chiral matter coupled to gravity suffers from gravitational anomalies [7], which can be conveniently shifted to the Lorentz sector [8] by the use of a local counterterm. With such a choice, the induced action for the zweibein field ${e_\mu}^\pm$ is expected to be diffeomorphism invariant but Lorentz and Weyl anomalous. It was first computed by Leutweyler [9] for the case of a chiral fermion, and can be written as follows (see later for notation)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{-W[{e_\mu}^\pm]} &= \int (\mathcal{D}X)\ \mathrm{e}^{-S[X,{e_\mu}^\pm]} \\ W[{e_\mu}^\pm] &= \frac{1}{24\pi}\int d^2x\sqrt{g}\ \Big(c_l R_l \frac{1}{\nabla^2}R_l + c_r R_r \frac{1}{\nabla^2}R_r + 2a\omega_+\omega_-\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where X denotes a generic chiral conformal system. The parameter a multiplies a local counterterm which is not fixed by the requirement of diffeomorphism invariance. For $c_l = c_r = a \equiv c$, this action takes the form $cR\frac{1}{\nabla^2}R$, up to boundary terms, and Lorentz invariance is recovered. In ref. [5], such an action was quantized in the light-cone gauge for the zweibein

$$\begin{aligned} e^+ &= \mathrm{e}^{-i\lambda}dx^+ \\ e^- &= \mathrm{e}^{i\lambda}(dx^- + h_{++}dx^+) \\ \Rightarrow ds^2 &= e^+e^- = dx^+(dx^- + h_{++}dx^+), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and an hidden $SL(2,R)\otimes U(1)$ current algebra was discovered. An analysis of the constraints related to such a gauge choice then led the author of ref. [5] to a determination of the coefficient a in term of c_l and c_r. Such a result, however, was not found in the subsequent analysis of Myers and Periwal [6], who chose to work in the conformal gauge, defined by ${e_\mu}^\pm = \mathrm{e}^{\sigma\mp i\lambda}{\hat{e}_\mu}{}^\pm$. In this letter, we will address such a discrepancy by looking at the dynamics of the Lorentz field before gauge fixing the diffeomorphism invariance. It will be pointed out that the induced action describing the dynamics of the Lorentz field coincides with the action of a chiral boson, as recently introduced in [10]. This allows us to identify the stress tensor of the Lorentz field which enters the constraints, required eventually by the various gauge fixings. As a consequence, we do not find any constraint on the value of the coupling a, confirming a conjecture in [6] according to which the stress tensor of the Lorentz mode was not correctly identified in ref. [5].

To get started, we give our conventions for the two dimensional local geometry. We introduce Lorentz covariant derivatives[†]

$$\nabla_a = E_a{}^\mu \partial_\mu + \omega_a J, \qquad a = \pm \tag{3}$$

constrained by

$$[\nabla_-, \nabla_+] = RJ. \tag{4}$$

The constraint (4) is solved for the spin connection as ($e \equiv det\ e_\mu{}^a$, $e_\mu{}^a$ inverse of $E_a{}^\mu$)

$$\omega_\pm = \mp \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu (e E_\pm{}^\mu). \tag{5}$$

The definition of the scalar curvature R then gives ($E_a \equiv E_a{}^\mu \partial_\mu$)

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R_r + R_l \\ R_r &= \nabla_- \omega_+ = (E_- + \omega_-)\omega_+ \\ R_l &= -\nabla_+ \omega_- = -(E_+ - \omega_+)\omega_- \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and coincides, up to some normalization, with more standard definitions which make use of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu{}^a e_\nu{}^b \eta_{ab}$. Note that with our definitions the Euler theorem for a surface of genus g reads: $\frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{g}\ R = 2 - 2g$. Another useful object can be defined as $U = R_r - R_l$. The scaling properties of the various geometrical quantities under Weyl (σ) and Lorentz (λ) transformations, given by $e_\mu{}^\pm \to \mathrm{e}^{\sigma \mp i\lambda} e_\mu{}^\pm$, are easily derived from the above formulas, and read

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_\pm \to \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \pm i\lambda} \Big(\omega_\pm \mp \nabla_\pm (\sigma \pm i\lambda) \Big), \\ &eR_r \to e\Big(R_r - \nabla^2 (\sigma + i\lambda) \Big), \qquad eR_l \to e\Big(R_l - \nabla^2 (\sigma - i\lambda) \Big), \\ &eR \to e\Big(R - 2\nabla^2 \sigma \Big), \qquad eU \to e\Big(U - 2i\nabla^2 \lambda \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

[†] We work with an euclidean signature and the flat metric η_{ab} in the complex coordinates $x^\pm = x^1 \pm ix^2$ is given by $\eta_{+-} = \eta_{-+} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta_{++} = \eta_{--} = 0$. J is the Lorentz generator acting on vectors $V_\pm$ as $[J, V_\pm] = \pm V_\pm$.

where $\nabla^2 \equiv \nabla_- \nabla_+ = \nabla_+ \nabla_-$ is the laplacian acting on scalars. Note that R is Lorentz invariant as it should, while U is Weyl invariant.

As already mentioned, the action induced by chiral matter has the form in (1). Our interest is to quantize such an action, but before fixing the diffeomorphism invariance by choosing the gauges of refs. [5] or [6], we want to see how the induced action depends on the Lorentz field. We do this by representing a general zweibein as ${e_\mu}^\pm = \mathrm{e}^{\mp i\lambda} {\hat{e}_\mu}{}^\pm$, where ${\hat{e}_\mu}{}^\pm$ is an arbitrary background. Dropping the hat on ${\hat{e}_\mu}{}^\pm$, we obtain from the formula in (1)

$$\begin{aligned} W[\mathrm{e}^{\mp i\lambda}{e_\mu}^\pm] &= W[{e_\mu}^\pm] + S_{Lor}[\lambda, {e_\mu}^\pm] \\ S_{Lor}[\lambda, {e_\mu}^\pm] &= \frac{1}{24\pi}\int d^2x\sqrt{g}\,\Big[\lambda\nabla^2\lambda(2a - c_r - c_l) + 2iR_r\lambda(a - c_r) - 2iR_l\lambda(a - c_l)\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{24\pi}\int d^2x\sqrt{g}\,\Big[\lambda\nabla^2\lambda(2a - c_r - c_l) + iR\lambda(c_l - c_r) + iU\lambda(2a - c_r - c_l)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

As anticipated this action is identical to the action for a chiral boson as described in [10]. Due to the coupling of the λ field to the background chiral curvature scalars R_r and R_l, the stress tensor acquires improvement terms which have the effect of shifting asymmetrically the left and right central charges. Note also that the kinetic term of the Lorentz field is positive definite for $c_r + c_l - 2a > 0$. Rescaling the Lorentz field by $\tilde{\lambda} = (\frac{c_r + c_l - 2a}{12})^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda$ to get a standard normalization of the kinetic term[†], we obtain

$$S_{Lor} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2x\sqrt{g}\,\left(\nabla_+\tilde{\lambda}\nabla_-\tilde{\lambda} + 2i\beta_r R_r\tilde{\lambda} + 2i\beta_l R_l\tilde{\lambda}\right) \tag{9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_l &= \frac{c_l - a}{12}\left(\frac{12}{c_l + c_r - 2a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \beta_r &= -\frac{c_r - a}{12}\left(\frac{12}{c_l + c_r - 2a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The corresponding stress tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{Lor}_{ab} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\delta S_{Lor}}{\delta {e_\mu}^b} e_{\mu a} = &\eta_{ab}E_+\tilde{\lambda}E_-\tilde{\lambda} - \eta_{+a}E_b\tilde{\lambda}E_-\tilde{\lambda} - \eta_{-a}E_+\tilde{\lambda}E_b\tilde{\lambda} \\ &+ 2i\beta_r\left(-\eta_{ab}E_+E_-\tilde{\lambda} + \eta_{+a}E_bE_-\tilde{\lambda} + \eta_{-a}\omega_+E_b\tilde{\lambda}\right) \\ &+ 2i\beta_l\left(-\eta_{ab}E_-E_+\tilde{\lambda} + \eta_{-a}E_bE_+\tilde{\lambda} - \eta_{+a}\omega_-E_b\tilde{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

[†] and standard propagator $\tilde{\lambda}(z,\bar{z})\tilde{\lambda}(w,\bar{w}) = -\log(|z-w|^2\mu^2)$,

In flat space, we get the following components of the energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned}T_{++}^{Lor} &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_+\tilde{\lambda}\partial_+\tilde{\lambda} + i\beta_l\partial_+^2\tilde{\lambda}, \quad T_{--}^{Lor} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_-\tilde{\lambda}\partial_-\tilde{\lambda} + i\beta_r\partial_-^2\tilde{\lambda}, \\ T_{+-}^{Lor} &= -i\beta_r\partial_+\partial_-\tilde{\lambda} = 0, \quad T_{-+}^{Lor} = -i\beta_l\partial_-\partial_+\tilde{\lambda} = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the last line follows from the equation of motion $\partial_+\partial_-\tilde{\lambda} = 0$. Improvement terms have appeared in $T_{\pm\pm}$, turning the Lorentz field into a chiral boson. A remarkable property of the Lorentz field is that it contributes to the central charges in such a way of leveling up the mismatch between the matter central charges c_l and c_r, independently of the value of a,

$$\begin{aligned}&c_l^{Lor} = 1 - 12\beta_l^2 = 1 - \frac{(c_l - a)^2}{c_r + c_l - 2a}, \quad c_r^{Lor} = 1 - 12\beta_r^2 = 1 - \frac{(c_r - a)^2}{c_r + c_l - 2a} \\ &\Rightarrow \quad c_l + c_l^{Lor} = c_r + c_r^{Lor} = 1 + \frac{c_l c_r - a^2}{c_l + c_r - 2a}.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

This statement can also be checked by integrating out the Lorentz field in the path integral. Completing squares, shifting the Lorentz field and using the fact that a standard scalar contributes to the induced action by $\frac{1}{24\pi}R\frac{1}{\nabla^2}R$, we recover the values in (13), and, in addition, we can check that $a^{Lor} = 1 - 12\beta_l\beta_r$. Thus, without the need of introducing additional counterterms, the induced action due to the combined effect of the matter and Lorentz fields reads

$$\begin{aligned}W[e_\mu{}^\pm] &= \frac{\hat{c}}{24\pi}\int d^2x\sqrt{g}\, R\frac{1}{\nabla^2}R \\ \hat{c} &\equiv c_l + c_l^{Lor} = c_r + c_r^{Lor} = a + a^{Lor}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Before turning to the various gauge fixing, we note that a vertex operator of the form $V_k = \exp(ik\tilde{\lambda})$ has chiral weights $\Delta_l = \frac{1}{2}k(k - 2\beta_l)$ and $\Delta_r = \frac{1}{2}k(k - 2\beta_r)$, corresponding to conformal spin $s \equiv \Delta_r - \Delta_l = k\big(\frac{c_l + c_r - 2a}{12}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now we consider the different gauge fixings and comment first on the conformal gauge defined by $e_\mu{}^\pm = \mathrm{e}^\sigma \hat{e}_\mu{}^\pm$. We consider the combined effect of the matter and Lorentz fields, which, as just described, induce the effective action for non-chiral gravity with central charge $\hat{c}$, eq. (14). Everything then proceeds as described in refs. [3][4][11][12]. This implies that the Liouville field σ can be treated as a free field with a central charge $c^{Liou} = 26 - \hat{c}$, making the BRST charge

$$Q = \oint c(x^+)\Big(T_{++}^{matter} + T_{++}^{Lor} + T_{++}^{Liou} + \frac{1}{2}T_{++}^{gh}\Big)(x^+) \tag{15}$$

nilpotent, without the need of fixing the coupling a (here $c(x^+)$ is the ghost field of the standard b, c system for the conformal gauge; a similar formula applies to the other chiral sector).

We now switch to the case of the light-cone gauge (2). The constraint arising from gauge fixing the metric component $g_{--} = 0$ is

$$T_{++}^{total} \equiv T_{++}^{matter} + T_{++}^{Lor} + T_{++}^{(h_{++})} + T_{++}^{gh} = 0 \tag{16}$$

where T_{++}^{Lor} is as in eq. (12), $T_{++}^{(h_{++})}$ is expressed in term of the $SL(2,R)$ currents and the the ghost system contributing to T_{++}^{gh} is the one described in [2]. The requirement that the central charge of T_{++}^{total} vanishes corresponds to the nilpotency of the BRST charge for such a gauge choice and fixes the level of the $SL(2,R)$ current algebra by the equation

$$c_l^{total} = c_l + c_l^{Lor} + \frac{3K}{K+2} - 6K - 28 = 0. \tag{17}$$

The value of c_l^{Lor} is as in (13). Thus, no constraint is found on a. In ref. [5], the contribution of the Lorentz field was assumed to be $c_l^{Lor} = 1$, leading through some consistency arguments to a fixed value of the coupling a (requiring consistency with eq. (13) would fix $a = c_l$). We see that this is not the case. In fact, in the light-cone gauge we find the following formulae

$$\begin{aligned} &R = U = R_r = \partial_-^2 h_{++}, \qquad R_l = 0, \\ &E_+ = \partial_+ - h_{++}\partial_-, \qquad E_- = \partial_-, \\ &\omega_+ = \partial_- h_{++}, \qquad \omega_- = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and the action in (8) takes the form

$$S_{Lor} = \frac{1}{24\pi} \int d^2x \left[(2a - c_l - c_r)\lambda\partial_-(\partial_+ - h_{++}\partial_-)\lambda + 2i(a - c_r)\lambda\partial_-^2 h_{++} \right]. \tag{19}$$

Note that because $R = U$ in this gauge, the chiral structure of the induced action for the Lorentz field is not anymore manifest.

To conclude, we have analyzed the action for the Lorentz mode of the zweibein induced by chiral matter and pointed out that the Lorentz field behaves as a chiral boson. In particular, we have checked by computing its stress tensor that it contributes asymmetrically to the central charges and in such a way of matching the left-right central charge asymmetry of the inducing matter. No constraint is found on the value of the coupling constant a at this stage of analysis. The formula (13) indicates the a-dependence of the central charge due to the matter-Lorentz sector. Our analysis has been of a local nature and we have not dealt with topology and other global issues such as modular invariance, which are, of course, essential for a complete understanding of the chiral gravity model (see ref. [6] for steps in this direction), and might eventually fix a preferred value for a. Also, we have analyzed the Lorentz field before fixing the gauge for diffeomorphism invariance, being this of no obstacle for our purposes. Of course, other gauges are possible, where the Lorentz field is not present. For example, given the general Beltrami parametrization of the zweibein

$$\begin{aligned} e^+ &= \mathrm{e}^{\sigma - i\lambda}(dx^+ + h_{--}dx^-) \\ e^- &= \mathrm{e}^{\sigma + i\lambda}(dx^- + h_{++}dx^+) \\ \Rightarrow ds^2 = e^+e^- &= \mathrm{e}^{2\sigma}(dx^+ + h_{--}dx^-)(dx^- + h_{++}dx^+), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

one could imagine of fixing the gauge by setting $\sigma = \lambda = 0$. However, the advantage of the usual light-cone gauge is lost, since the area element is now non-trivial: $\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{1 - h_{++}h_{--}}$. Still, the quantum theory of h_{++} and h_{--} might shed a different light on the properties of quantum chiral gravity.

References

[1] A. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A2 (1987) 893.

[2] V. Knizhnik, A. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 819.

[3] F. David, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 1651.

[4] J. Distler and H. Kawai, Nucl. Phys. B231 (1989) 509.

[5] Y. Oz, J. Pavelczyk and S. Yankielowicz, Nucl. Phys. B363 (1991) 555.

[6] R. Myers and V. Periwal, preprint IASSNS-92-19, Mc-Gill/92-26 and hep-th/9207117, to appear in Nuclear Physics B.

[7] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B234 (1984) 269.

[8] W. Bardeen and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B244 (1984) 421.

[9] H. Leutweyler, Phys. Lett. B153 (1985) 65.

[10] F. Bastianelli, Phys. Lett. B227 (1992) 464.

[11] N.E. Mavromatos and J.L. Miramontes, Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1989) 1847.

[12] E. D'Hoker and P.S. Kurzepa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 1441.